## **Appendix 1 - Seniors Living SEPP Compliance Table**

## State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004

CLAUSE	SEPP REQUIREMENT	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	COMPLIANCE
Location, Facilities	Max. 400m from shops,	The proposal, being in Penrith City Centre, has adequate access to the necessary facilities	Yes
and Support	banks, retail,	and services within 400m.	
Services	community services and		
	medical services being		
(Clause 26)	no more than 1:14		
` ,	gradient or as otherwise		
	stipulated within Clause		
	26(2).		
Water and Sewer	Housing connected to a	Sydney Water in its letter dated 4 March has stated it is working to support development	Yes
	reticulated water	by undertaking an options study. They advised that wastewater capacity is available	
(Clause 28)	system with adequate	however limited capacity in drinking water.	
,	waste water disposal.		
	·	A standard condition requiring a Section 73 Certificate could be conditioned however the	
		application is recommended for refusal.	
PART 3 - DIVISION :	L - GENERAL DESIGN REQU	IREMENTS	•
CLAUSE	SEPP REQUIREMENT	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	COMPLIANCE
Site Analysis	Site analysis required.	The was supported by a site analysis plan.	Yes
(Clause 30)			
PART 3 - DIVISION	2 - DESIGN PRINCIPLES	<del>-</del>	_
CLAUSE	SEPP REQUIREMENT	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	COMPLIANCE

Neighbourhood Amenity & Streetscape (Clause 33)	Recognise and respond to area character and consider heritage items, maintain reasonable amenity and character through setbacks, height, fencing, planting and retaining walls, etc.	The proposal does not adequately align itself with the above principles in regarding to achieving the desired future character that is outlined in the Penrith DCP 2014 E11 Part A in regards to the landscape corridor along Woodriff Street. Building design and stormwater infrastructure encroachment into setbacks impact on the ability to achieved mature tree planting. The Reserve Street frontage is also impacted by the building design and stormwater infrastructure which will restrict any meaningful canopy tree planting.  Building 1 has been designed to have an inset at the Reserve Street frontage to accommodate the canopy and APZ of an existing tree, however it is assessed that the deviation in building line is not sufficient to accommodate for the future growth of the tree. The minimal building offset requires that northern canopy be reduced in places by half, perhaps even more once the required pruning is undertaken at the appropriate pruning point. This level of pruning is considered to be outside the tolerances of the tree, will leave the tree misshapen and create issues in regards to the future growth habit of the tree.	No
Visual & Acoustic Privacy (Clause 34)	Consider location of windows and balconies, use of screening devises, landscaping and noise generation by locating paths, parking and driveways away from dwellings.	The design of the proposed development has not located sources of potential noise generation (including the driveway to the basement parking and loading area) away from the independent living units. The impacts of predicted noise associated with the loading dock has not been assessed with the Noise Impact Assessment Report as it relates to receivers within the proposed development complex, particularly south facing units of Building 3.  There is a communal pathway which wraps around Building 3 south and western sides. This pathway adjoins the building along the western edge and will have privacy impacts for the ground floor units.	No

Solar Access	Adequate solar access to living areas and POS	The shadow diagrams that accompany the DA have indicated that existing residential dwellings adjacent on Woodriff Street will experience minimal overshadowing at 3pm in	No
(Clause 35) of neight reduced locating v living and	of neighbours and reduced energy use by locating windows of living and dining areas to the north.	the front setback in mid-winter. The adjacent site on Reserve Street is the at grade carpark associated with the shopping centre.  As outlined in the ADG criteria assessment, and further in this report at Division 4 Selfcontained dwellings, the proposal does not meet the minimum requirement (70%) of 3 hours of sunlight between the hours of 9am and 3pm to dwellings in Building 2 and 3 (Independent Living Buildings).	
		Solar diagrams indicate only 67% of dwellings comply. Skylights have been proposed on the diagrams to achieve compliance however this is not supported and will not provide adequate solar penetration as per the ADG.	
		In addition, only 54% of units receive natural cross ventilation. Again, skylights are proposed to achieve a complaint cross ventilation calculation, which is not supported. The ADG specifically states 'light wells are not to be the primary air source for habitable rooms'.	
Stormwater (Clause 36)	Control and minimize stormwater runoff and include (where practical) OSD.	The application has not demonstrated that the proposal can manage its stormwater appropriately and effectively, ensure no impacts on or off site. The application was not supported by MUSIC model, compliant OSD tanks and there was inconsistent information between plans. Further detail is outlined in Penrith DCP section of this report.	No
Crime Prevention (Clause 37)	Ensure passive surveillance and lockable shared entries.	The units are designed to provide passive surveillance.	Yes
Accessibility (Clause 38)	Safe pedestrian links to public transport and facilities and safe and convenient access and parking.	The proposed development is within Penrith City Centre and has access to transport and services. The proposal incorporates safe and convenient access to the secure resident and visitor car parking located in the basement level car park.	Yes

Waste Management	Provide waste facilities that maximize recycling.	remitti DCF 2014. The proposal is deficient in multiple aleas. Further detail is outlined in	No
(Clause 39)		Penrith DCP section of this report.	

CLAUSE	SEPP REQUIREMENT	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	COMPLIANCE
Site Area	Min. 1,000m <sup>2</sup>	Complies.	Yes
(Clause 40(2))			
Frontage (Clause 40(3))	Min. 20m	Complies.	Yes
Building Height		Complies.	Yes
(Clause 40(4))			
PART 6 – Vertical v	illages		
Bonus floor space		The FSR applicable to the site under Penrith LEP 2010 is 2:1. The proposal incorporates the 10% affordable dwellings and on-site services. Therefore, the bonus floor space of 0.5 can	Yes
(Clause 45(2))		be applied. The resulting FSR for the proposal is 2.49:1	
PART 7 – GENERAL	(CANNOT BE GROUNDS FO	DR REFUSAL)	
General Consent	Cannot grant consent if	Satisfactory	Yes
Restriction	site analysis		
	considerations are not		
(Clause 46)	satisfactory.		

General Consent Restriction		The proposed development has a building height less than the maximum 24m height limit under Penrith LEP 2010.	Yes
(Clause 48(a))			
FSR		As per Clause 45(2).	Yes
(Clause 48(b))			
Landscape area	25 m2 per bed	25m2 x 120 beds = 3000 square metres.	No. However, the overall site achieves a 54% landscaping which is acceptable in
			this instance.
		LLINGS(CANNOT BE GROUNDS FOR REFUSAL)	this instance.
PART 7 – DIVISION CLAUSE	I 4 – SELF CONTAINED DWE SEPP REQUIREMENT	LLINGS(CANNOT BE GROUNDS FOR REFUSAL)  PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	-
<b>CLAUSE</b> Height			this instance.
CLAUSE		PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT  The proposed development has a building height less than the maximum 24m height limit	this instance.
<b>CLAUSE</b> Height		PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT  The proposed development has a building height less than the maximum 24m height limit	this instance.  COMPLIANCE
CLAUSE Height (Clause 50(a))		PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT  The proposed development has a building height less than the maximum 24m height limit under Penrith LEP 2010.	this instance.  COMPLIANCE N/A
CLAUSE Height (Clause 50(a)) FSR		PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT  The proposed development has a building height less than the maximum 24m height limit under Penrith LEP 2010.	this instance.  COMPLIANCE N/A

Solar Access (Clause 50(e))	70% solar access to living areas for 3 hrs between 9am and 3pm	Solar diagrams indicate only 67% of dwelling receive the required amount of sunlight. Skylights have been proposed on the diagrams to comply however this is not supportable as it conflicts with the ADG on this matter.	No
POS (Infill) (Clause 50(f))	Ground floor: 15m² of POS per unit being a min. 3m x 3m and accessed off living area.  First floor and above: 10m² per unit	Ground floor units are satisfactory however eight (8) x two (2) bedroom units which do not meet the 10m² minimum.	No
Parking	1 car space per 5 dwellings (ILUs - 74)	The car parking numbers are satisfactory.	Yes
(Clause 50(h))	1 car space per 10 beds (RACF - 120 beds)		

CLAUSE	SEPP REQUIREMENT	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	COMPLIANCE
Wheelchair Access (Clause 2(1))	If entire site is <1:10 grade, 100% to be wheelchair accessible.	Access report submitted confirming the site gradient is less than 1:10 and all areas will be linked by an accessible path.	Yes
(clause 2(1))	Wite citiali decession.		
(Clause 2(2))	If not, the percentage of dwellings that must		Yes
	have wheelchair access must equal the proportion of the site that has a gradient of		
	less than 1:10, or 50%, whichever is the greater.		
Lighting	Must provide at least 20 lux at ground level.	Compliance can be conditioned however application is recommended for refusal.	Yes
(Clause 3)			
Letterboxes	Lockable.	Compliance can be conditioned however application is recommended for refusal.	Yes
(Clause 4)	Situated on hard stand		
	that is wheelchair accessible.		
	Central location		
	adjacent to street entry.		

CLAUSE	SEPP REQUIREMENT	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	COMPLIANCE
Private Car	Must comply with	Compliance can be conditioned however application is recommended for refusal.	Yes
	AS 2890.		
(Clause 5)			
	Garages – power		
	operated doors or		
	enable a future one to		
	be installed.		
Internal Design	Refer to Clauses 6-17,	Compliance can be conditioned however application is recommended for refusal.	Yes
	19 and 20 of Schedule 3		
Clause 7)	for design		
	requirements.		
ift Access	In a multi-storey		Yes
	building containing		
(Clause 18)	separate self-contained		
	dwellings on different		
	storeys, lift access must		
	be provided to		
	dwellings above the		
	ground level of the		
	building by way of a lift		
	complying with Clause		
	E3.6 of the <i>Building</i>		
	Code of Australia.		
Garbage Storage	A garbage storage area	Provided via chutes to basement.	Yes
	must be provided in an		
(Clause 21)	accessible location.		